

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/063,985	05/31/2002	Allen M. Ritter	57761.000108	3821		
21967	7590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER			
	z WILLIAMS LLP UAL PROPERTY DEPAR	FLANIGAN, ALLEN J				
1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3753			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	Ø.		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

di di						he/				
		Applic	cation No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/06	3,985		RITTER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Exam	iner		Art Unit					
			J. Flanigan		3753					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on	the cover shee	t with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a. ly will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, ma estatutory minimum of nd will expire SIX (6) I expplication to becom	y a reply be tim f thirty (30) days MONTHS from the BANNDONEC	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on								
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-3,29,30 and 32-37 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 4-16,18-22,24,27,28 and 31 is/are objected to. 									
	on Papers		•							
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/arc Applicant may not request that any objected the second including the second including sheet(s) including the second including sheet(s) including the second including t	e: a) accepted o ection to the drawing ng the correction is re	(s) be held in abe quired if the draw	eyance. See ving(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •				
•	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attac	hed Office	Action or form P1	ΓO-152.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative ethe attached detailed Office acticknowledgment is made of a claim nice a specific reference was included CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim cknowledgment is made of a claim cknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first segment of the company of the claim ference was included in the first segment.	y documents have by documents have be of the priority document on all Bureau (PCT ion for a list of the confort domestic priority and the first senter anguage provisional for domestic priority	been received in the process of the specific terms of the specific	n Application and receive and receive and receive and receive are also been received. C. §§ 120	on No d in this National d. to a provisiona in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment	(s)									
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)				(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 10/063,985

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant's election with traverse of the species of Figs. 1-4 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "a complete and thorough search of the claims readable on the elected species" would overlap the search for nonelected species. This is not found persuasive because a proper traversal points out the errors in the requirement for restriction (in this case, the basis for requiring restriction was the finding that patentably distinct species were disclosed and claimed). No alleged errors in this determination were offered.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 17, 23, 25, and 26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Claims 1-3, 29, 30, and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Negishi et al. in view of Calaman et al.

Negishi et al. shows a housing with plural cavities connected in series defined in a housing. The inlet of one cavity is connected to the outlet of the preceding cavity, or to the housing inlet, and so on. Negishi et al. further show the use of corrugated fins for enhanced heat transfer.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,985

Art Unit: 3753

Calaman et al. shows a similar heat sink for liquid cooling (with a single chamber or cavity in the housing) which expressly recognizes the equivalency of staggered rows of columnar or "pin" fins 25 and corrugated fins as means of enhancing turbulence and heat transfer in such cooling devices. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to substitute the staggered pin fin rows of Calaman et al. for the corrugated fins 17 of Negishi et al. in view of the express recognition in the art that these are equivalents, such being a mere substitution of known equivalents.

Regarding claims 32 and 33, note lines 7-11 of column 1 of Negishi et al. Even if not illustrated, it is clearly within the scope of the disclosure of this reference to attach the device to an electrical component to be cooled.

Regarding claims 36 and 37, specifying what fluids the applicant intends to use with the claimed device in use fails to further limit the structure claimed; such limitations drawn to intended use are not entitled to weight as structural limitations.

Claims 4-16, 18-22, 24, 27, 28, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 38 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,985

Art Unit: 3753

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent

to applicant's disclosure.

The remaining references show various cooling or heat sink devices with

flow passages.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone

number is (703) 308-1015. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F

9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 308-7764.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose

telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Allen J. Flanigan

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

AJF